arXiv:1101.0418v1 [math.AP] 2 Jan 2011

Removable Sets for Hölder Continuous $p(x)$-Harmonic Functions

A. Lyaghfouri
Fields Institute, 222 College Street
Toronto M5T 3J1, Canada

Abstract

We establish that a closed set E is removable for $C^{0,\alpha}$ Hölder continuous $p(x)$-harmonic functions in a bounded open domain Ω of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, provided that for each compact subset K of E, the $(n - p_K + \alpha(p_K - 1))$-Hausdorff measure of K is zero, where $p_K = \max\limits_{x \in K} p(x)$.

MSC: 35J60, 35J70

Key words : $p(x)$-harmonic functions, Variable Exponents Sobolev Spaces, Hölder continuity, Hausdorff Measure, Removable Sets.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be an open bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, and let p be a measurable real valued function defined in Ω satisfying for some positive numbers p_- and p_+

$$1 < p_- \leq p(x) \leq p_+ < \infty \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega. \tag{1.1}$$

We first recall the definitions of Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ (see for example [2], [5] and [8])

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \Big\{ u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \;\text{ measurable } / \; \rho(u) = \int_\Omega |u(x)|^{p(x)} < +\infty \;\Big\}$$

is equipped with the Luxembourg norm $\|u\|_{p(x)} = \inf \Big\{ \lambda > 0 \;:\; \rho\left(\left| \dfrac{u(x)}{\lambda} \right| \right) \leqslant 1 \;\Big\}$.

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) = \Big\{ u \in L^{p(x)}\Omega) \;/\; \nabla u \in \big(L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \big)^n \Big\}.$$

Setting $\|\nabla u\|_{p(x)} = \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{p(x)}$, for $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, then $\|u\|_{1,p(x)} = \|u\|_{p(x)} + \|\nabla u\|_{p(x)}$ is a norm, and $(W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega), \|.\|_{1,p(x)})$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space.

The space $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined as the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

If Ω has Lipschitz boundary and p satisfies for some $L > 0$

$$-\,|p(x) - p(y)| \log |x - y| \leqslant L \qquad \forall x, y \in \overline{\Omega}, \tag{1.2}$$

then $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ is dense in $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) = W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ (see [5]).

We shall need three definitions:

Definition 1.1. *We say that a function $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a $p(x)$-harmonic function in an open subset $\mathcal{O}$ of Ω and write $\Delta_{p(x)} u = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}$, if it satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u . \nabla \zeta dx = 0 \qquad \forall \zeta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}).$$

Definition 1.2. *Let E be a closed subset of Ω. We say that E is a removable set for $C^{0,\alpha}$ Hölder continuous $p(x)$-harmonic functions, if for any $C^{0,\alpha}$ Hölder continuous function u in Ω:*

If u is $p(x)$-harmonic in $\Omega \setminus E$, then u is $p(x)$-harmonic in Ω.

Definition 1.3. *Let F be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and s a positive real number. The s-Hausdorff measure of F, denoted by $H^s(F)$, is defined by*

$$H^s(F) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} H^s_\delta(F) = \sup_{\delta > 0} H^s_\delta(F), \ \textit{where for } \delta > 0,$$

$$H^s_\delta(F) = \inf \Big\{ \ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha(s) \Big(\frac{diam(C_j)}{2} \Big)^s \ | \ F \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j, \ diam(C_j) \leqslant \delta \ \Big\}$$

and $\alpha(s) = \dfrac{\pi^{s/2}}{\Gamma(s/2+1)}$, $\Gamma(s) = \displaystyle\int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt$, *for $s > 0$ is the usual Gamma function.*

In this paper, we are concerned with giving a sufficient condition for a closed subset E of Ω in order to be removable for $C^{0,\alpha}$ Hölder continuous $p(x)$-harmonic functions in Ω. We recall that for p constant, Kilpeläinen and Zhong [7] proved that a closed subset E of Ω is removable for $C^{0,\alpha}$ Hölder continuous p-harmonic functions if and only if the $(n - p + \alpha(p-1))$-Hausdorff measure of E is zero. Trudinger and Wang [10] proved the sufficiency of this condition. For $p = 2$, this result is due to Carleson [3]. For a result in the framework of A-harmonic functions, i.e. functions satisfying $div\Big(\frac{a(|\nabla u|)}{|\nabla u|} \nabla u\Big) = 0$, with $A(t) = \displaystyle\int_0^t a(s) ds$ and $a \in C^1((0, +\infty)) \cap C^0([0, +\infty))$, we refer to [4].

The main result of the paper is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $E \subset \Omega$ be a closed set. Assume that u is a continuous function in Ω, $p(x)$-harmonic in $\Omega \setminus E$, and such that for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$*

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leqslant L|x-y|^{\alpha} \quad \forall y \in \Omega,\ \forall x \in E.$$

If for each compact subset K of E, the $(n - p_K + \alpha(p_K - 1))$-Hausdorff measure of K is zero, where $p_K = \max_{x\in K} p(x)$, then u is $p(x)-$harmonic in Ω.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 is the following corollary:

Corollary 1.1. *A closed subset E of Ω is a removable set for $C^{0,\alpha}$ Hölder continuous $p(x)$-harmonic functions, if for each compact subset K of E, the $(n - p_K + \alpha(p_K - 1))$-Hausdorff measure of K is zero, where p_K is as defined above.*

Remark 1.1. *We recall that Carleson [3] proved Corollary 1.1 for the Laplace operator. For $p-$Laplace like operators, it was established by Kilpeläinen and Zhong [7], and also by Trudinger and Wang [10], under the assumption that u has an $\mathcal{A}-$superharmonic extension to Ω. A partial result has been also obtained in [4] for A-harmonic functions.*

2 Proof of the Main Result

First, we introduce the following obstacle problem, where D is a smooth subdomain of Ω and $\phi \in W^{1,p(x)}(D)$

$$P(\phi, D)\begin{cases}\text{Find } v \in \mathcal{F} = \{\ \zeta \in W^{1,p(x)}(D)\ /\ \zeta \geqslant \phi \text{ in } \Omega \text{ and } \zeta - \phi \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(D)\ \},\\ \displaystyle\int_D |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v.\nabla(\zeta - v)dx \geqslant 0 \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in \mathcal{F}.\end{cases}$$

Then we have:

Proposition 2.1. *There exists a unique solution v to the problem $P(\phi, D)$. If ϕ is continuous in D, then so it is for v. Moreover $-\Delta_{p(x)}v$ is a nonnegative measure and v is $p(x)-$harmonic in $[v > \phi]$.*

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of a solution to $P(\phi, D)$ can easily be obtained by standard techniques. For the rest, we refer to [6] Theorem 10. ☐

Next, we establish the following lemma :

Lemma 2.1. *Let K be a compact subset of Ω. Suppose that $\phi \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a continuous function such that we have for some $L > 0$*

$$\forall y \in \Omega\ \forall x \in K \quad |\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \leqslant L|x-y|^{\alpha}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let v be the solution of the problem $P(\phi, \Omega)$ and let $\mu = -\Delta_{p(x)}v$. Then there exit two positive constants C_0 and R_0 such that

$$\mu(B_R(x)) \leqslant C_0 R^{n-p(x)+\alpha(p(x)-1)}, \quad \forall R < R_1 = \min\Big(R_0, \frac{dist(K, \partial\Omega)}{33}\Big),\ \forall x \in K. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Let $x_0 \in K$ and $0 < R < \frac{dist(K,\partial\Omega)}{33}$. We distinguish two cases:

$\underline{1^{st}Case}$: $B_R(x_0) \cap [v = \phi] = \emptyset$.

In this case, we have by Proposition 2.1 $\mu(B_R(x_0)) = 0$.

$\underline{2^{nd}Case}$: $\exists x_1 \in B_R(x_0) \cap [v = \phi]$.

In this case, we have $\mu(B_R(x_0)) \leqslant \mu(B_{2R}(x_1))$ and it is enough to establish (2.2) for $B_{2R}(x_1)$. Note that since $\overline{B}_{33R}(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$, we have $\overline{B}_{32R}(x_1) \subset\subset \Omega$. Moreover if $v \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{B}_{8R}(x_1))$, then we know (see [9] Theorem 1.1) that there exist two positive constants C_0 and r_0 such that (2.2) holds for $x = x_1$ provided that $2R < r_0$. We shall assume that $R < R_0 = r_0/2$ and will show that $osc(v, \overline{B}_{8R}(x_1)) = \max\limits_{\overline{B}_{8R}(x_1)} v - \min\limits_{\overline{B}_{8R}(x_1)} v \leqslant CR^\alpha$ for some positive constant C.

Let $\omega_0 = osc(\phi, \overline{B}_{32R}(x_1)) = \max\limits_{\overline{B}_{32R}(x_1)} \phi - \min\limits_{\overline{B}_{32R}(x_1)} \phi$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $v(x_1) = \phi(x_1) = 0$, and we claim that $\omega_0 \leqslant L2^{\alpha+1}(1 + 32^\alpha)R^\alpha$. Indeed since ϕ is continuous in Ω, there exist $x_2, x_3 \in \overline{B}_{32R}(x_1)$ such that $\phi(x_3) = \max\limits_{\overline{B}_{32R}(x_1)} \phi$ and $\phi(x_2) = \min\limits_{\overline{B}_{32R}(x_1)} \phi$. Then we have by the assumption (2.1) since $x_0 \in K$

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_0 &= \phi(x_3) - \phi(x_2) = \phi(x_3) - \phi(x_0) + \phi(x_0) - \phi(x_2) \\
&\leqslant L|x_3 - x_0|^\alpha + L|x_2 - x_0|^\alpha \\
&\leqslant L(|x_3 - x_1| + |x_1 - x_0|)^\alpha + L(|x_2 - x_1| + |x_1 - x_0|)^\alpha \\
&\leqslant L2^\alpha(|x_3 - x_1|^\alpha + |x_1 - x_0|^\alpha) + L2^\alpha(|x_2 - x_1|^\alpha + |x_1 - x_0|^\alpha) \\
&\leqslant L2^\alpha((32R)^\alpha + R^\alpha + (32R)^\alpha + R^\alpha) = L2^{\alpha+1}(1 + 32^\alpha)R^\alpha. \qquad (2.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Now $v + \omega_0$ is a nonnegative and $p(x)$-superharmonic function in $B_{32R}(x_1)$. Indeed by Proposition 2.1, $-\Delta_{p(x)}(v + \omega_0) = -\Delta_{p(x)}v = \mu \geqslant 0$, and for $x \in B_{32R}(x_1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
(v + \omega_0)(x) &= v(x) + \max_{\overline{B}_{32R}(x_1)} \phi - \min_{\overline{B}_{32R}(x_1)} \phi \geqslant \phi(x) - \min_{\overline{B}_{32R}(x_1)} \phi + \max_{\overline{B}_{32R}(x_1)} \phi \\
&\geqslant \max_{\overline{B}_{32R}(x_1)} \phi \geqslant \phi(x_1) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 6.4 of [1] to $v+\omega_0$, we get for some constant $C_1 > 0$ and $0 < q < \frac{n(p(x_1)-1)}{n-1}$

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B_{16R}(x_1)|} \int_{B_{16R}(x_0)} (v + \omega_0)^q dx\right)^{1/q} \leqslant C_1\big(\inf_{B_{8R}(x_1)} (v + \omega_0) + R\big).$$

Since by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\frac{1}{|B_{16R}(x_1)|} \int_{B_{16R}(x_1)} (v + \omega_0) dx \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{|B_{16R}(x_1)|} \int_{B_{16R}(x_1)} (v + \omega_0)^q dx\right)^{1/q},$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{|B_{16R}(x_1)|}\int_{B_{16R}(x_1)}(v+\omega_0)dx \leqslant C_1\big(\inf_{B_{8R}(x_1)}(v+\omega_0)+R\big). \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover $(v-\omega_0)^+$ is nonnegative and $p(x)$-subharmonic in $B_{32R}(x_1)$. Indeed let $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}(B_{32R}(x_1))$, $\zeta \geqslant 0$, and $\epsilon > 0$. Using the fact that by Proposition 2.1 $\Delta_{p(x)}v = 0$ in $[v > \phi]$, and taking into account that $B_{32R}(x_1) \cap [v > \omega_0] \subset B_{32R}(x_1) \cap [v > \phi]$ because $-\min_{\overline{B}_{32R}(x_1)} \phi \geqslant -\phi(x_1) = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{B_{32R}(x_1)}|\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v.\nabla\Big(\min\big(\zeta, \frac{(v-\omega_0)^+}{\epsilon}\big)\Big)dx\\ &=\int_{B_{32R}(x_1)\cap[v>\omega_0]}|\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v.\nabla\Big(\min\big(\zeta, \frac{(v-\omega_0)^+}{\epsilon}\big)\Big)dx = 0\end{aligned}$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{B_{32R}(x_1)\cap[\epsilon\zeta\leqslant(v-\omega_0)^+]}|\nabla(v-\omega_0)^+|^{p(x)-2}\nabla(v-\omega_0)^+.\nabla\zeta dx\\ &=-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_{B_{32R}(x_1)\cap[\epsilon\zeta>(v-\omega_0)^+]}|\nabla(v-\omega_0)^+|^{p(x)}dx \leqslant 0.\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$, we obtain

$$\int_{B_{32R}(x_1)}|\nabla(v-\omega_0)^+|^{p(x)-2}\nabla(v-\omega_0)^+.\nabla\zeta dx \leqslant 0$$

which means that $\Delta_{p(x)}(v-\omega_0)^+ \geqslant 0$ in $B_{32R}(x_1)$.

At this point, we remark that it is straightforward to adapt the proof of Lemma 6.6 of [1] to the function $(v-\omega_0)^+$, since the proof uses only the fact that the function is $p(x)$-subharmonic. We obtain for some constant $C_2 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{B_{8R}(x_1)}(v-\omega_0)^+ &\leqslant C_2\Big(\frac{1}{|B_{16R}(x_1)|}\int_{B_{16R}(x_1)}(v-\omega_0)^+dx+R\Big)\\ &\leqslant C_2\Big(\frac{1}{|B_{16R}(x_1)|}\int_{B_{16R}(x_1)}(v+\omega_0)dx+R\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Using (2.4)-(2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{B_{8R}(x_1)}(v-\omega_0)^+ &\leqslant C_2\big(C_1\big(\inf_{B_{8R}(x_0)}(v+\omega_0)+R\big)+R\big) \leqslant C_3\big(\inf_{B_{8R}(x_0)}(v+\omega_0)+R\big)\\ &\leqslant C_3(\omega_0+R) \qquad \text{since } \inf_{B_{8R}(x_1)} v \leqslant v(x_1) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\sup_{B_{8R}(x_1)} v \leqslant \omega_0 + \sup_{B_{8R}(x_1)}(v-\omega_0) \leqslant \omega_0 + \sup_{B_{8R}(x_1)}(v-\omega_0)^+ \leqslant (1+C_3)\omega_0 + C_3R$$

which leads by continuity to

$$\max_{\overline{B}_{8R}(x_1)} v \leqslant (1+C_3)\omega_0 + C_3. \tag{2.6}$$

Now since $\phi(x_1)=0$, we have

$$-\min_{\overline{B}_{8R}(x_1)} v \leqslant -\min_{\overline{B}_{32R}(x_1)} \phi = \phi(x_1) - \min_{\overline{B}_{32R}(x_1)} \phi \leqslant \max_{\overline{B}_{32R}(x_1)} \phi - \min_{\overline{B}_{32R}(x_1)} \phi = \omega_0. \tag{2.7}$$

Since $\alpha \in (0,1)$, it follows from (2.3), (2.6) and (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} osc(v, B_{8R}(x_1)) &= \max_{\overline{B}_{8R}(x_1)} v - \min_{\overline{B}_{8R}(x_1)} v \leqslant (2+C_3)\omega_0 + C_3 R \\ &\leqslant L2^{\alpha+1}(1+32^\alpha)(2+C_3)R^\alpha + C_3 R = (L2^{\alpha+1}(1+32^\alpha)(2+C_3) + C_3 R^{1-\alpha})R^\alpha \leqslant C_4 R^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let E be a closed subset of Ω such that for each compact subset K of E, the $(n-p_K+\alpha(p_K-1))$-Hausdorff measure of K is zero, where $p_K = \max_{x\in K} p(x)$. Let u be a continuous function in Ω, that is $p(x)$-harmonic in $\Omega \setminus E$, and such that for all $y \in \Omega$ and $x \in E$

$$|u(x)-u(y)| \leqslant L|x-y|^\alpha.$$

We would like to prove that u is $p(x)$-harmonic in Ω. Consider a smooth domain $D \subset\subset \Omega$. By Proposition 2.1, there exists a unique continuous solution v of the problem $P(u,D)$ such that $\mu = -\Delta_{p(x)} v$ is a nonnegative Radon measure. Let K be a compact subset of $E \cap D$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have for a positive constant C_0

$$\mu(B_R(x)) \leqslant C_0 R^{n-p(x)+\alpha(p(x)-1)} \qquad \forall x \in K,\ \forall R < R_1 = \min\Big(R_0, \frac{dist(K,\partial D)}{33}\Big). \tag{2.8}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\mathcal{H}^s(K) = 0$ for $s = n - p_K + \alpha(p_K-1)$, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ (see Definition 1.3) such that for all $\delta \in (0,\delta_0)$, $0 \leqslant H^s_\delta(K) \leqslant \epsilon$. We deduce that for each $\delta \in (0,\delta_0)$, there exists a family of sets (C^δ_j) such that $K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty C^\delta_j$, $diam(C^\delta_j) \leqslant \delta$ and

$$H^s_\delta(K) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha(s)\Big(\frac{diam(C^\delta_j)}{2}\Big)^s < \epsilon. \tag{2.9}$$

We assume naturally that for each j, $C^\delta_j \cap K \neq \emptyset$. So for each j, there exists an $x_j \in C^\delta_j \cap K$. This leads to $C^\delta_j \subset B_{R_j}(x_j)$, with $R_j = diam(C^\delta_j)$. Obviously we can assume that for all j $R_j < \min(1, R_1)$. It follows from (2.8)-(2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(K) &\leqslant \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu(C^\delta_j) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu(B_{R_j}(x_j)) \leqslant C\sum_{j=1}^\infty R_j^{n-p(x_j)+\alpha(p(x_j)-1)} \\ &\leqslant C\sum_{j=1}^\infty R_j^{n-p_K+\alpha(p_K-1)} = C\sum_{j=1}^\infty (diam(C^\delta_j))^s \leqslant C\frac{2^s}{\alpha(s)}\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, we get $\mu(K) = 0$, which leads to $\mu(E \cap D) = 0$.

Next, we prove that $\mu(D\backslash E) = 0$. Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}(D\backslash E)$, $\zeta \geqslant 0$, $\epsilon > 0$, and set $\zeta_\epsilon = \min\big(\zeta, \dfrac{v-u}{\epsilon}\big)$. Given that we have $\mu = -\Delta_{p(x)}v = 0$ in $[v > u]$ and $\zeta_\epsilon = 0$ in $[v = u]$, we obtain

$$\int_{D\backslash E} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v.\nabla\zeta_\epsilon dx = \int_{(D\backslash E)\cap[v>u]} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v.\nabla\zeta_\epsilon dx = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Since $\Delta_{p(x)}u = 0$ in $D \setminus E$, we have

$$\int_{D\backslash E} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u.\nabla\zeta_\epsilon dx = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Subtracting (2.11) from (2.10), we get

$$\int_{D\backslash E} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v - |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u\Big).\nabla\zeta_\epsilon dx = 0$$

which leads by the monotonicity of the vector function $|\xi|^{p(x)-2}\xi$ to

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{(D\backslash E)\cap[\epsilon\zeta\leqslant v-u]} \Big(|\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v - |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u\Big).\nabla\zeta dx\\ &= -\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_{(D\backslash E)\cap[\epsilon\zeta>v-u]} \Big(|\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v - |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u\Big).(\nabla v - \nabla u)dx \leqslant 0\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$, we get

$$\int_{D\backslash E} \Big(|\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v - |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u\Big).\nabla\zeta dx \leqslant 0.$$

Now since $\Delta_{p(x)}u = 0$ in $D \setminus E$, we obtain

$$\int_{D\backslash E} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2}\nabla v.\nabla\zeta dx \leqslant 0.$$

which means that $\mu = -\Delta_{p(x)}v \leqslant 0$ in $D \setminus E$. We deduce that $\mu(D \setminus E) = 0$, and conclude that $\mu(D) = 0$. Hence $\Delta_{p(x)}v = 0$ in D.

Similarly, we consider w the solution of the obstacle problem $P(-u, D)$. In the same way we prove that $\Delta_{p(x)}w = 0$ in D. Now we have

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{p(x)}v = \Delta_{p(x)}(-w) = 0, & \text{in } D\\ v = -w, & \text{on } \partial D.\end{cases}$$

By the maximum principle, we get $v = -w$ in D. Since we have $-w \leqslant u \leqslant v$ in D, we obtain $u = v = -w$ in D and $\Delta_{p(x)}u = 0$ in D. $\square$

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the Fields Institute for the facilities and excellent research conditions during his stay at this institution.

References

[1] Y. A. Alkhutov and O. V. Krasheninnikova : *Continuity at boundary points of solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with a nonstandard growth condition.* (Russian) Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. 68, no. 6, 3-60 (2004); translation in Izv. Math. 68, no. 6, 10631117 (2004).

[2] S. Antontsev and S. Shmarev : *Elliptic equations with anisotropic nonlinearity and nonstandard growth conditions*, Handbook of Differential Equations: Stationary Partial Differential Equations, Edited by M. Chipot and P. Quittner. Elsevier-North Holland. **3**, Chapter 1, 1-100 (2006).

[3] L. Carleson : *Removable singularities of continuous harmonic functions in* $\mathbb{R}^m$. Math. Scand. 12, 15-18 (1963).

[4] S. Challal and A. Lyaghfouri : *Removable Sets for* $A-$*Harmonic Functions.* Submitted.

[5] X. Fan and D. Zhao : *On the Spaces* $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ *and* $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$. J. Mathematical Analysis and Applications. Vol. 263, 424-446 (2001).

[6] P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Koskenoja, T. Lukkari and N. Marola : *An obstacle problem and superharmonic functions with nonstandard growth.* Nonlinear Anal. 67, 3424-3440 (2007).

[7] T. Kilpeläinen and X. Zhong : *Removable sets for continuous solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130, 1681-1688, (2002).

[8] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosnik: *On spaces* $L^{p(x)}$ *and* $W^{k,p(x)}$. Czechoslovak Math. J. Vol. 41(116), No. 4, 592-618 (1991).

[9] A. Lyaghfouri : *Hölder Continuity of* $p(x)$*-Superharmonic Functions.* Nonlinear Analysis : Theory, Methods & Applications. Vol. 73, No. 8, 2433-2444 (2010).

[10] N. Trudinger and X.J. Wang : *On the weak continuity of elliptic operators and applications to potential theory.* Amer. J. Math. 124, No. 2, 369-410 (2002).